IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

PAMELA TUCKER

-VS-

JUDGE CASTILLO

Plaintiff

01C

1787

LOYOLA UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO,) an Illinois not-for-profit Corporation, MAGISTRATE JUDGE DENLOW Defendant)



COMPLAINT AT LAW

NOW COMES the Plaintiff, PAMELA TUCKER, by and through her attorneys, Ronald A. Orner, David A. Beck and Craig A. Moen, and complaining of Defendant, LOYOLA UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, an Illinois not-for-profit Corporation, and states as follows:

COUNT I – RACIAL DISCRIMINATION

NATURE OF ACTION

1. Plaintiff's, Pamela Tucker, cause of action arises under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. 2000(e) et seq, and the Civil Rights Act of 1866, 42 U.S.C. Section 1981, which provides for redress for racial discrimination in employment.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This court has jurisdiction over plaintiff's racial discrimination action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1331.
- 3. Plaintiff has sought timely federal relief. On December 1, 2000, plaintiff, Pamela Tucker, filed a Charge of Discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. Plaintiff received written notice of her Right to Sue from the

ũ

Equal Employment Opportunity Commission on February 5, 2001. (A copy is attached hereto as Exhibit A.)

4. Venue lies in this district pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. 2000(e) et seq, because plaintiff's cause of action arose in Cook County, Illinois, and defendant's principal place of business is in Cook County, Illinois.

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff, PAMELA TUCKER, (hereinafter "TUCKER") is an individual who resides in Naperville, Illinois.
- 6. Loyola University of Chicago, (hereinafter "LOYOLA") is an educational institution with its principal place of business in Cook County, Illinois.

FACTS

- 7. From on or about April 20, 1998, Tucker was employed by defendant, Loyola, as Director of Administrative Services.
- 8. At all times relevant hereto, Tucker was and is a member of the protected class, to wit: an African American.
- 9. Tucker had always performed her job duties in an acceptable manner consistent with Loyola's standards.
- 10. Tucker was racially discriminated against and treated differently than non-African American employees who were similarly situated, including but not limited to, the following:
 - A) Her Caucasian supervisors failed to support her, unlike similarly situated Caucasian employees;
 - B) Was replaced in her position and told she could accept a demotion or resign on October 19, 2000, whereas similarly situated Caucasian employees were not treated in

such a fashion.

- 11. Tucker complained about the discriminatory conduct, but Loyola was never able to or prevented its employees were not treated in such a fashion.
- 12. All of the above described acts violate Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the Civil Rights Act of 1866.
- 13. As a direct and proximate result of Loyola's discriminatory conduct, Tucker has suffered a materially adverse employment action, loss of her job.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, PAMELA TUCKER prays that this Court enter judgment for plaintiff for:

- a) Compensatory damages;
- b) Back pay;
- c) Front pay;
- d) Emotional pain and suffering;
- e) Punitive damages;
- f) Attorneys' fees and costs;
- g) Any other relief that this Court deems just and reasonable.

COUNT II – AGE DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT ACT

- 1. Plaintiff's cause of action arises under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, 29 U.S.C. Section 623 et seq, which provides for redress for deprivation of rights on account of discrimination in employment for persons who are at least forty (40) years of age.
- 2. From on or about April 20, 1998, Tucker was employed by defendant, Loyola, as Director of Administrative Services.

- 3. At all times relevant hereto, Tucker was a member of the protected class, to wit: an individual over forty (40) years of age.
- 4. Tucker had always performed her job duties in an acceptable manner consistent with Loyola's standards.
- 5. Tucker was discriminated on the basis of her age, in that on October 19, 2000, she was asked to resign her position or accept a demotion, and she was replaced by a younger individual.
- 6. Loyola's removal of Tucker from her position on the basis of her age was willful, and Tucker's age was a motivating factor in the loss of her job.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, PAMELA TUCKER, prays judgment for:

- a) Back pay;
- b) Front pay;
- c) Punitive damages;
- d) Compensatory damages;
- e) Emotional pain and suffering;
- f) Attorneys' fees and costs;
- g) Any other relief that this Court deems just and proper.

Ronald A.

Craig A. Moon

Ronald A. Orner
David A. Beck
Craig A. Moen
ORNER, BECK, ZYDOWSKY,
MOEN & SPLITT, LTD.
200 North LaSalle Street
Suite 1920
Chicago, Illinois 60601
(312) 346-2630

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE

	المراورة والأواد	(Issued on	request)	ንፈ				
To: Pamela L. Tucker 2339 Emerson Lane Naperville, IL 60540 Certified No.: 7000 0600 0022 104 9414 On behalf of a person aggrieved whose identity is confidential (29 C.F.R. 1601.7(a))			From: Equal Employment Opportunity Commission 500 West Madison Street Suite 2800 Chicago, Illinois 60661					
Charge Number		EEOC Representative	Telephon		Telephone Numb	ne Number		
210A10936 .		Lynn Cousins, Investigator			(312) 353-9194			
See the addition	al information attached to this	orm.)		•		en y		
TO THE PERSON in you charge, Yo	AGGRIEVED: This is your NO DO NO MUST DO SO WITHIN NINE	TY (90) DAYS OF YOUR RE	CEIPT OF THIS I	request. NOTICE: (If you intend to sue OTHERWISE YOUR I	the respondent(s) named RIGHT TO SUE IS LOST.		
	More than 180 days have ex	pired since the filing of this	charge.			•		
x	Less than 180 days have expired since the filing of this charge, but I have determined that the commission will be unable to complete its process within 180 days from the filing of the charge.							
x	With the issuance of this NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE, the Commission is terminating its process with respect to this charge.							
	It has been determined that	the Commission will continu	ie to investigate	your charg	ge.			
		,						
x	ADEA: While Title VII and the ADA require EEOC to issue this notice of right to sue before you can bring a lawsuit you munder the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA) any time 60 days after your charge was filed until 90 days after received notice that EEOC has completed action on your charge. X Because EEOC is closing your case, your lawsuit under the ADEA must be brought within 90 days of your rethis notice. Otherwise, your right to sue is lost. EEOC is continuing its investigation. You will be notified when we have completed action and, if our notice include notice of right to sue under the ADEA.							
	EPA: While Title VII and the have the right to sue under the bringing and EPA suit in coulunderpayment.	he Equal Pay Act (EPA). ()	You are not requi	ired to cor	mplain to any enforc	ement agency before		
Jan D	<u> MMJ3/</u> ate	200/	On Behalf of John P. Ro	~/	commission Commission Commission Commission	UC.		

Enclosures

Information Sheet Copy of Charge

"EXHIBIT A"

RECEIVED FEB 0 5 2001

cc: Respondent(s)

Loyola University

JS 44 (Rev. 12/96)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CIVIL COVER SHEET

by law except as provided:	by local rates of court. Th	is form, approved by t	eplace nor supplement the fili the Judicial Conference of the E INSTRUCTIONS ON THE RI	United States in Septem	ber 1974, is required for the		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS	DEFENDANTS			
	JUD	GE CASTILLO					
PAMELA TUC	KER			LOYOLA UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, an			
·	MAGISTRATE	HIDGE DENL	o w Illinoi:	Illinois not-for-profit Corporation			
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE C	OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF	70 DO PAGE	COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF	FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT	=C00K		
(EXCEP	T IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS	SES)	NOTE: IN LAND CON	IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CÁSE DEMNATION CASES, HIS	S ONLY)		
			TRACT OF LA	DEMNATION CASES, US	1.34 2753 17		
(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME. Orner, Bec	ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE N	JMBER)o Curatata	ATTI RNEYS (IF KNOW	MON	T DOOLL		
	k, Zydowsky, Mo LaSalle Street,		Total T	181	ë MICKET		
Chicago, I		J-1720	,		J MAD 1 F A		
(312) 346-					u. T 9 \(\)		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (PLACE AN ")	(" IN ONE BOX ONLY)	. CITIZENSHIP OF PRI				
			(For Diversity Cases Only) P	TF DEF	ND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT) PTF L		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	Federal Question (U.S. Governme	nt Not a Party)	Citizen of This State		or Principal Place □ 4 □ s In This State		
□ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizen	ship of Parties	Citizen of Another State □		and Principal Place ☐ 5 © s In Another State		
	in Item III)		Citizen or Subject of a □ Foreign Country	I3 □3 Foreign Natio	on 🗆 6 C		
IV. ORIGIN		(PLACE AN "X"	" IN ONE BOX ONLY) Transfero	red from	Appeal to Dis Judge from		
			nstated or	district 🗆 6 Multidistr	ict 🗀 7 Magistrate		
V. NATURE OF SUIT	(PLACE AN "X" IN ON			DANKSUSTOV	OTHER STATUTES		
CONTRACT	TO PERSONAL INJURY	RTS PERSONAL INJURY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY □ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES 400 State Reapportionment		
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	☐ 362 Personal Injury — Med. Malpractice	☐ 620 Other Food & Drug ☐ 625 Drug Related Selzure	423 Withdrawal	10 410 Antitrust 10 430 Banks and Banking		
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	☐ 365 Personal Injury — Product Liability	of Property 21 USC 881	28 USC 157	☐ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc		
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander 330 Federal Employers	368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liab	☐ 640 R.R. & Truck	PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations		
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability	PERSONAL PROPERTY	660 Occupational	☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent	□ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/		
(Excf. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending	☐ 690 Other	☐ 840 Trademark	Exchange B75 Customer Challenge		
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	12 USC 3410 B91 Agricultural Acts		
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury	385 Property Damage Product Liability	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	☐ 892 Economic Stabilization A ☐ 893 Environmental Matters		
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIC		☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act☐ 895 Freedom of		
210 Land Condemnation	☐ 441 Voting	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	230 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act		Information Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determina		
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	### 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations	HABEAS CORPUS:	☐ 740 Railway Labor Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 950 Constitutionality of		
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	☐ 535 Death Penaity ☐ 540 Mandamus & Othe	790 Other Labor Litigation	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	State Statutes ■ 890 Other Statutory Actions		
☐ 200 All Office Real Property	1 440 Striet Striit Hights	☐ 550 CMI Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition	791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	☐ 871 IRS — Third Party 26 USC 7609			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	(CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STA	TUTE UNDER WHICH YOU A	RE FILING AND WRITE BRIEF STATEMEDIVERSITY.)	ENT OF CAUSE.	ion arises under		
Title VII of t			42 U.S.C. 2000(e				
Act of 1866, 4	2 U.S.C. Sectio	on 1981, which	n provides for red	ress for racial	discrimination		
<u>in employment.</u>			DEMAND \$	CHECK YES	only if demanded in comple		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT	CHECK IF THIS I	S A CLASS ACTION	1 DEWAND 3	JURY DEM			
VIII. This case	is not a refiling of a	previously dismissed	l action.	ne mare all common en commune.			
	is a refiling of case		, previously dismissed by	Judge			
DATE		SIGNATORE OF ATT	ORNEY OF RECORD				
March 14,	2001	X	1 Dele		1)		
		David A. Bed	ck		1		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

JUDGE CASTILLO

In the Matter of

PAMELA TUCKER,

Plaintiff

MAGISTRATE JUDGE DENLOW

-VS-

LOYOLA UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, an Illinois not-for-profit Corporation,

Defendant

Case Number:

MAR 1.5.2

мб

YES

DESIGNATED AS LOCAL COUNSEL?

APPEARANCES ARE HEREBY FILED BY THE UNDERSIGNED AS ATTORNEY(S) FOR: PAMELA TUCKER (A) SIGNATURE SIGNATUR NAME David A. Beck NAME Ronald A. ზ∕rner Orner, Beck, Zydowsky, Moen & Splitt, 1td. Ornor, Beck, Zydowsky, Moen & Splitt, Ltd. FIRM STREET ADDRESS 200 North LaSalle Street, S-1920 STREET ADDRESS 200 North LaSalle Street, S-1920 Chicago, Illinois 60601 CITY/STATE/ZIP CHTY/STATE/Picago, Illinois 60601 TELEPHONE NUMBER (312) 346-2630 TELEPHONE NUMBER (312) 346-2630 IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON REVERSE) IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON REVERSE) 03124013 02117622 XX YES NO MEMBER OF TRIAL BAR? XX NO MEMBER OF TRIAL BAR? 囚 NO YES 区 TRIAL ATTORNEY? NO YES TRIAL ATTORNEY? NO X YES DESIGNATED AS LOCAL COUNSEL? **(D) (C)** SIGNATURE SIGNATURE NAME NAME Craig A. ™oen FIRM Orner, Beck, Zydowsky, Moen & Splitt, Ltd. STREET ADDRESS STREET ADDRESS 200 North LaSalle Street, S-1920 CITY/STATE/ZIP Chicago, Illinois 60601 TELEPHONE NUMBER TELEPHONE NUMBER (312) 346-2630 IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON REVERSE) IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON REVERSE) 01937545 NO YES MEMBER OF TRIAL BAR? NO MMYES MEMBER OF TRIAL BAR? NO YES 巛 TRIAL ATTORNEY? NO YES TRIAL ATTORNEY?

NO

XX

YES

DESIGNATED AS LOCAL COUNSEL?